

Effect of frontline demonstration on yield and economics of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) in Dungarpur district of Rajasthan

Madan Lal Choudhary*, R.A. Kaushik** and M. C. Bhatেশwar*

*SKN College of Agriculture, SKNAU, Jobner-Jaipur

**Directorate of Extension Education, MPUAT, Udaipur

Received: 11 January 2021; Accepted: 14 November 2022

ABSTRACT

The frontline demonstration on okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench.] was conducted on 70 ha during 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019 at farmers' fields in tribal area of Dungarpur district of Rajasthan. A total of 350 demonstrations were conducted on 350 farmers fields' with package of practices. The average yield was obtained 145.9, 147.5, 148.6 and 150.2 q/ha under demonstrated practice, whereas in farmers practice it was 102.2, 103.1, 102.6 and 103.4q/ha yield during summer season of 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019, respectively. An average on technology gap of four years frontline demonstration programme was 3.95qha. The per cent increase in yield with high-yielding over local variety was 42.76 to 45.26 per cent. The extension gap recorded was 43.7, 44.4, 46.0 and 46.8 q/ha during all years. An average technology index was 2.60 per cent during all the four years, showing the efficacy of technical interventions. The demonstrated practice also gave higher gross return, net return with higher benefit: cost ratio compared to farmers practice.

Key words: B:C ratio, Extension gap, FLD, Technology gap, Technology index, Yield.

Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] thrives in all kinds of soils, but it grows best in a friable well manured soil (Yawalkar, and Ram, 2004). Farmers in India are still producing crops based on knowledge transmitted to them by their forefathers leading to a grossly unscientific agronomic, nutrient management and pest management practices (Papnai, *et al.* 2017). As a result, they often fail to achieve the desired potential yield. To improve yield levels and make awareness to okra growers, frontline demonstrations were conducted. The performance of okra Jamuna against local check was evaluated at farmers' fields during summer seasons 2016 to 2019.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The frontline demonstrations were conducted in Dungarpur district during summer season 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019. A total 350 frontline demonstration on okra Jamuna was conducted at farmers' fields. The data were collected on pod yield, cost of cultivation, net returns with benefit: cost ratio. The data were collected through personal contacts with the help of well-structured interview schedule. The data were processed, tabulated, classified and analyzed in terms of mean per cent score. More than 10 per cent

difference between beneficiary and non-beneficiary farmers was considered as significant difference. The extension gap, technology gap and technology index, marginal benefit: cost ratio and relative economic efficiency were calculated using the formula as suggested by Papnai *et al.* (2017).

Extension gap = demonstrated practice yield - farmers practice yield

Technology gap = potential yield - demonstration yield

Potential yield - demonstration yield

Technology index $\frac{\text{demonstration yield} - \text{potential yield}}{\text{potential yield}} \times 100$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

On an average pod yield was recorded 148.05q/ha under demonstrated practices as compared to farmers' practice (102.18q/ha). The highest pod yield of demonstrated practices was 150.20q/ha during summer season 2019 and in farmers' practice (103.10q/ha). The lowest yield was during summer season 2016. Average pod yield increased 44.92%/ha. The higher average pod yield was due to technical knowledge and adoption of improved package of practices. The findings support to those of Singh *et al.* (2008), Dhemre and Desale (2010), Singh *et al.* (2011), Nanda and Saha (2014), Khaiwal (2014), Yadav and Verma (2015),

Kacha and Patel (2015), Rajput *et al.* (2016), Papnai *et al.* (2017), Choudhary *et al.* (2017), Aklade *et al.* (2018), Shelke *et al.* (2019), Adhikari and Piya (2020), Kachari and Barooah (2020), Ray *et al.* (2020), Sivakumar *et al.* (2020), Irulandi, *et al.* (2020), Bhati *et al.* (2021) and Choudhary *et al.* (2022). However, variations in yield might be due to variations in soil fertility, moisture availability, rainfall and change in location.

The yield of demonstration practices was 6.1q/ha, 4.5q/ha, 3.5g/ha and 1.8q/ha during summer season 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019, respectively. An average on technology gap of four years frontline demonstration programme was 3.95q/ha. The technology gap might be attributing to dissimilarity in soil fertility status and weather conditions. Hence, location-specific recommendations depend on identification and use of farming situation, specific interventions and greater implications in enhancing system productivity. These findings are similar to those of Singh *et al.* (2008), Singh *et al.* (2011), Balai *et al.* (2013), Kacha and Patel (2015), Rajput *et al.* (2016), Choudhary *et al.* (2017), Aklade *et al.* (2018), Sivakumar *et al.* (2020), Kachari and Barooah (2020), Ray *et al.* (2020), Bhati *et al.* (2021) and Choudhary *et al.* (2022). Extension gap of 43.7q/ha, 44.4q/ha, 46.0q/ha and 46.8q/ha was observed during all seasons.

An average of extension gap under frontline demonstration programme was 45.23q/ha, which emphasized the need to educate the farmers for adoption of improved production technology. These findings are similar to those of Singh *et al.* (2008), Balai *et al.* (2013), Singh *et al.* (2011), Kacha and Patel (2015), Rajput *et al.* (2016), Choudhary *et al.* (2017), Aklade *et al.* (2018), Sivakumar *et al.* (2020), Kachari and Barooah (2020), Ray *et al.* (2020), Bhati *et al.* (2021) and Choudhary *et al.* (2022). The technology index varied from 1.18 to 4.01 per cent. An average technology index was 2.60% during all years, which showed the efficacy of technical interventions.

The technology index showed economic feasibility of the demonstrated technology at farmers' fields. Therefore, it is concluded that understanding and using improved varieties/hybrids with recommended scientific package of practices enhanced yield. These are in agreement with those of Singh *et al.* (2008), Singh *et al.* (2011), Balai *et al.* (2013), Kacha and Patel (2015), Rajput *et al.* (2016), Choudhary *et al.* (2017), Aklade *et al.* (2018), Kachari and Barooah (2020), Sivakumar *et al.* (2020), Ray *et al.* (2020), Ray *et al.* (2020), Bhati *et al.* (2021) and Choudhary *et al.* (2022).

The net return of ₹110400/ha, ₹139450/ha, ₹169250/ha and ₹185250/ha, respectively, were obtained as

Table 1. Economics and yield difference of okra Jamuna under frontline demonstrations

Year	No. of Demo.	Area (ha)	Yield (q/ha)		increase yield over FP%	Extension Gap (q/ha)	Technology gap (q/ha)		Index (%)	Cost of cultivation (₹/ha)		Gross return (Rs/ha)		Net return (₹/ha)		B:C ratio	
			DP	FP			DP	FP		DP	FP	DP	FP	DP	FP	DP	FP
2016	50	10	145.9	102.2	42.76%	43.7	6.1	4.01	35500	34950	145900	102200	110400	67250	4.11	2.92	
2017	100	20	147.5	103.1	43.06%	44.4	4.5	2.96	37550	37050	177000	123720	139450	86670	4.71	3.34	
2018	100	20	148.6	102.6	44.83%	46.0	3.4	2.24	38790	38250	208040	143640	169250	105390	5.36	3.76	
2019	100	20	150.2	103.4	45.26%	46.8	1.8	1.18	40050	39020	225300	155100	185250	116080	5.63	3.97	
Mean	350	70	148.05	102.83	43.98%	45.23	3.95	2.60	37973	37318	189060	131165	151088	93848	4.95	3.50	

DP = Demonstrated practice, FP = Farmers practice and Potential Yield (q/ha) = 152

compared to farmer practices ₹67250/ha, ₹.86670 /ha, ₹105390/ha and ₹116080/ha during summer seasons of 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019, respectively (Table 1). The average net return of ₹1,51,088/ha was higher as compared to farmers practices (₹93,848/ha). An average cost of cultivation, gross return, additional net return and B: C ratio of demonstration practice was ₹37973/ha, ₹189060/ha, ₹57240/ha and 4.95, respectively as compared to farmers practice (₹37318/ha), gross return (₹131165/ha) and B : C ratio (3.50). The benefit: cost ratio was higher than farmers' practices in during all the years. This may be due to higher yield under improved technologies compared to farmers' practice. This finding is similar to those of Singh *et al.* (2008), Singh *et al.* (2011), Balai *et al.* (2013), Khaiwal (2014), Nanda and Saha (2014), Yadav and Verma (2015), Kacha and Patel (2015), Rajput *et al.* (2016), Choudhary *et al.* (2017), Papnai *et al.* (2017), Aklade *et al.* (2018), Sivakumar *et al.* (2020), Ray *et al.* (2020), Bhati *et al.* (2021) and Choudhary *et al.* (2022).

CONCLUSION

These yield potential of okra can be increased to a great extent. This will substantially increase the income as well as livelihood of farming community. There is a need to adopt multi-pronged strategy that involves enhancing okra production through improved technologies in tribal area of Dungarpur district of Rajasthan.

REFERENCES

- Adhikari A and Piya A. 2020. Effect of Different Sources of Nutrient on Growth and Yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Monech). *International Journal of Environmental & Agriculture Research* **6**(1):45-50.
- Aklade S A, Dobariya J B and Thesiya N M. 2018. Impact of Front Line Demonstrations of INM in Okra During Off Season in the Dang District of Gujarat. *International Journal of Economic Plants* **5**(3):123-126.
- Balai C M, Jalwania R, Verma L N, Bairwa R K and Regar P C. 2013. Economic impact of front line demonstrations on vegetables in tribal belt of Rajasthan. *Current Agriculture Research Journal* **1**(2): 69–77.
- Bhati B S, Rathore R S and Kumar L. 2021. Impact of Front Line Demonstration on Yield and Economics of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) in Banswara District of Rajasthan. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology* **39**(5): 114-119.
- Dhemre J K and Desale S B. 2010. Impact of front line demonstration on production technology of okra cv. "Phule Utkarsha" in Dhule district of Maharashtra. *Asian Scientific* **5**(1): 29–31.
- Choudhary M L, Soni R L and Kaushik R A. 2022. Evaluation of onion (*Allium cepa*) varieties for their suitability in tribal area of Dungarpur, Rajasthan. *Current Horticulture* **10**(2): 48-51.
- Choudhary M L, Ojha S N, Balai C M, Roat B L and Meena S K. 2017. Effect of front line demonstration on yield enhancement of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) in Pratapgarh district of Rajasthan. *Current Horticulture* **5**(2): 44-47.
- Irulandi S, Anitha T, Shanmugapakkiam S, Manivannan M I and Solaimalai A. 2020. Impact of bio-intensive management strategies for major pests of okra. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* **8**(2): 1499-1501.
- Kachari M and Barooah L. 2020. Comparative study on use of organic inputs in Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* **9**(5): 292-295.
- Kacha H L and Patel S K. 2015. Impact of Frontline Demonstration on Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) Yield Improvement. *Journal of AgriSearch* **2**(1): 69-71
- Khaiwal R. 2014. Impact of Improved Technologies on Productivity and Profitability of Vegetables on Farmers Fields in Hamirpur District, Bundelkhand Tract of Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Applied Research* **4**(7): 393-395.
- Papnai G, Sachan V K, Nautiyal P and Manisha 2017. Performance of FrontLine Demonstrations in Hill Region of Uttarakhand. *Indian Journal of Hill Farming* **30**(2): 286-89.
- Nanda P K and Saha P. 2014. Front Line Demonstrations on Need Based Plant Protection in the Important Solanaceous Vegetables Impact in Enhancing Productivity And Profitability Under Farmers' Field In Keonjhar District Of Odish. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science* **7**(12): 01-04.
- Ray P K, Singh K M, Kumar Anjani and Singh R R. 2020. Enhancing Yield and Economics of Okra through Front Line Demonstration. *Chemical Science Review and Letters* **9**(33): 125-127.
- Rajput S, Rajput A S, Verma S K and Jain V. 2016. Impact of Front Line Demonstration on Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.)). *J Krishi Vigyan* **5**(1): 74-76.
- Shelke A A, Wadatkar S B and Beldar R M. 2019. Effect of Different Levels of Fertilizer on Growth and Yield of Okra. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **8**(12):1363-1367.
- Singh R, Soni R L, Singh V and Bugalia H L. 2011. Dissemination of improved production technologies of solanaceous vegetables in Banswara district of Rajasthan through Frontline demonstrations. *Rajasthan Society of Extension Education* **19**: 97–100.
- Singh N, Choudhary B R, Rai A B, Rai A, Rai A K and Rai M. 2008. Evaluation of improved vegetables varieties through community approach in Kushinagar District of Uttar Pradesh. *Vegetable Science* **35**(2): 172-175.
- Sivakumar V, Praneetha S, Balakumbahan R, Meena B, Thiyagarajan G. and Alagar M. 2020. Performance assessment of Bhendi hybrid Co 4 cultivation over local check variety under Front Line Demonstration. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences* **13**(01), 189–196.
- Yadav K S and Verma S K. 2015. Performance of Frontline Demonstration on Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) in different agroclimatic situation in M.P. *World Wide Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development* **1**(1): 40-42.
- Yawalkar K S and Ram H H. 2004. Fruit Vegetables. In: *Vegetable crops of India* Eds, Nagpur: *Agri-Horticultural Publishing House*, pp. 99–112.